# TAMPA-HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY

# Letter of Clarification No. 3

### FOR

## **Request for Proposals**

## East Selmon Slip Ramps Design-Build

### **RFP O-02520**

#### Letter of Clarification No. 3 ~ RFO No. O-02520 Date of Letter of Clarification: February 24, 2021

To all prospective respondents:

The following responds to questions received on the solicitation reference above:

Question 1:	Can the Authority provide pile driving records, drilled shaft installation records, and foundation load testing or PDA records for the existing bridges inclusive of original construction and subsequent widening?	
Response 1:	This information is not currently available. If additional information becomes available it will be provided to the DB teams.	
Question 2:	Based on the compressed time frame for the technical proposal phase can the response time for ATCs be reduced to less than 14 days?	
Response 2:	THEA will reduce the response time to 7 days.	
Question 3:	The RFP requires investigation of the 8x8 CBC and other existing pipes and structures within the project limits and that recommendations be made to the authority so they may determine if repair work is to be added to the project. Please confirm that such added work will be compensable.	
Response 3:	If any additional repair work is added to the project it will be compensable.	
Question 4:	Addendum No. 9 included a revised index of Reference Documents that add documents R11, R12, and R13. Can you provide an update on when the added documents will be made available?	
Response 4:	Attachments are loaded onto the OneDrive where all the previous files are located: <u>https://hntb-</u> <u>my.sharepoint.com/:f:/p/dhubbard/ErghdiHHLWJHpsK3sQQ4-</u> <u>WYBMXInc8MWPuWwCluJSO_Ocg?e=E9wnbL</u> Password (case sensitive): O-02520	

Question 5:	Can the Authority please confirm what the submittal requirements are?
Response 5:	Submit one (1) Original, seven (7) Flash Drives containing the Letter of Interest in PDF format and seven (7) collated, complete sets of seven (7) hard copies
Question 6:	Can the Authority please confirm whether or not Roll Plots are allowed or if all plans are to be 11x17?
Response 6:	No roll plots. All 11x17.
Question 7:	RFP Section V.F – Railroad Coordination states that "Copies of the approved Agreements will be made available to the Design-Build Firm". Please provide a copy of this agreement.
Response 7:	The Authority owns aerial rights over CSX at this location. Aerial easement documentation is included with this Letter of Clarification and will be included in a forthcoming RFP Addendum. THEA has not coordinated this project with CSX. The Design-Build firm is responsible for securing any agreements directly with CSX.
Question 8:	Will questions submitted by all shortlisted teams and the associated responses be issued to all teams at some point? If not, please confirm that only the Q&A provided to the successful team will become part of the contract.
Response 8:	Questions submitted by all shortlisted teams by 4/13/21 and the associated responses will be made available to all the shortlisted teams by 4/20/21 as per the Section II. Schedule of Events in the RFP.
Question 9:	Please provide the existing retaining wall shop drawings for the wall supporting the reversible elevated lanes at Ramp 2, located at approximately Sta. 302+63.42 to 319+90.58.
Response 9:	Shop drawings for the existing retaining wall cannot be located.

Addendum 9 incorporated the Pre-Bid Meeting Presentation into the RFP   Question 10: as an Attachment (Attachment A 011). If a conflict exists between the presentation and the written RFP which shall take precedence?   Response 10: There is no order of precedence between the RFP and the RFP Attachments. If a conflict is believed to be encountered, clarification should be requested by the prospective bidder.   Question 11: What appears to be the only conflict at this time, the presentation calls for cross slope correction to remove a minimum of 1.5" of structural course (silde 14). RFP section VI.F only requires milling 2.25" of pavement and any additional needed to achieve the structural number.   Response 11: There does not appear to be any conflict between the RFP and the RFP and the RFP Attachments. The RFP cross slope correction pavement design requires a minimum of 2.25" average depth milling, which coincides with milling and replacing a minimum of 1.5" structural course and 0.75" friction course. Please note that 0.75" of existing friction course is an assumed condition because roadway pavement cores are not available, and pavement cores performed by the DB Firm during the design phase may demonstrate that more friction course needs to be milled within the 2.25" minimum mill depth. This minimum does not consider structural requirements for the resurfacing pavement design.   Question 12: Please clarify the purpose of the Schedule of Values (Attachment A 008.06) and how or what this information may be used for.   Response 12: The Schedule of Values is provided so it may be included with the Bid Price Proposal in accordance with RFP Section VIII.A. This Schedule of Values will be used for pay estimate	RFP O-02520		
Attachments. If a conflict is believed to be encountered, clarification should be requested by the prospective bidder.   Question 11: What appears to be the only conflict at this time, the presentation calls for cross slope correction to remove a minimum of 1.5" of structural course (slide 14). RFP section VI.F only requires milling 2.25" of pavement and any additional needed to achieve the structural number.   Response 11: There does not appear to be any conflict between the RFP and the RFP Attachments. The RFP cross slope correction pavement design requires a minimum of 2.25" average depth milling, which coincides with milling and replacing a minimum of 1.5" structural course and 0.75" friction course. Please note that 0.75" of existing friction course is an assumed condition because roadway pavement cores are not available, and pavement cores performed by the DB Firm during the design phase may demonstrate that more friction course needs to be milled within the 2.25" minimum mill depth. This minimum does not consider structural requirements for the resurfacing pavement design.   Question 12: Please clarify the purpose of the Schedule of Values (Attachment A 008.06) and how or what this information may be used for.   Response 12: The Schedule of Values is provided so it may be included with the Bid Price Proposal in accordance with RFP Section VIII.A. This Schedule of Values will be used for pay estimates in accordance with	Quest	ion 10:	as an Attachment (Attachment A 011). If a conflict exists between the
Question 11: cross slope correction to remove a minimum of 1.5" of structural course (slide 14). RFP section VI.F only requires milling 2.25" of pavement and any additional needed to achieve the structural number.   Response 11: There does not appear to be any conflict between the RFP and the RFP Attachments. The RFP cross slope correction pavement design requires a minimum of 2.25" average depth milling, which coincides with milling and replacing a minimum of 1.5" structural course and 0.75" friction course. Please note that 0.75" of existing friction course is an assumed condition because roadway pavement cores are not available, and pavement cores performed by the DB Firm during the design phase may demonstrate that more friction course needs to be milled within the 2.25" minimum mill depth. This minimum does not consider structural requirements for the resurfacing pavement design.   Question 12: Please clarify the purpose of the Schedule of Values (Attachment A 008.06) and how or what this information may be used for.   Response 12: The Schedule of Values is provided so it may be included with the Bid Price Proposal in accordance with RFP Section VIII.A. This Schedule of Values will be used for pay estimates in accordance with	Respo	onse 10:	Attachments. If a conflict is believed to be encountered, clarification
RFP Attachments. The RFP cross slope correction pavement design requires a minimum of 2.25" average depth milling, which coincides with milling and replacing a minimum of 1.5" structural course and 0.75" friction course. Please note that 0.75" of existing friction course is an assumed condition because roadway pavement cores are not available, and pavement cores performed by the DB Firm during the design phase may demonstrate that more friction course needs to be milled within the 2.25" minimum mill depth. This minimum does not consider structural requirements for the resurfacing pavement design.   Question 12: Please clarify the purpose of the Schedule of Values (Attachment A 008.06) and how or what this information may be used for.   Response 12: The Schedule of Values is provided so it may be included with the Bid Price Proposal in accordance with RFP Section VIII.A. This Schedule of Values will be used for pay estimates in accordance with	Quest	ion 11:	cross slope correction to remove a minimum of 1.5" of structural course (slide 14). RFP section VI.F only requires milling 2.25" of pavement and
Response 12: The Schedule of Values is provided so it may be included with the Bid Price Proposal in accordance with RFP Section VIII.A. This Schedule of Values will be used for pay estimates in accordance with	Respo	onse 11:	RFP Attachments. The RFP cross slope correction pavement design requires a minimum of 2.25" average depth milling, which coincides with milling and replacing a minimum of 1.5" structural course and 0.75" friction course. Please note that 0.75" of existing friction course is an assumed condition because roadway pavement cores are not available, and pavement cores performed by the DB Firm during the design phase may demonstrate that more friction course needs to be milled within the 2.25" minimum mill depth. This minimum does not consider structural requirements for the
Bid Price Proposal in accordance with RFP Section VIII.A. This Schedule of Values will be used for pay estimates in accordance with	Quest	ion 12:	
	Respo	nse 12:	Bid Price Proposal in accordance with RFP Section VIII.A. This Schedule of Values will be used for pay estimates in accordance with

Question 13:	Scope of Services, Section 2, item 10 states, "Resurface and Restripe the Southbound I-4 Connector off-ramp onto Westbound Selmon Local Lanes (Ramp E). Begin the two-lane to one-lane merge striping immediately at the end of the FDOT maintenance limit (beginning of Authority maintenance limit) located at the bridge joint for Bridge # 100716." FDOT Portable Traffic Monitoring Site 972510 is not addressed in the RFP. The loops and sensors for this site are located on Ramp E approximately 200 feet downstream from the FDOT maintenance limit described above. These loops and sensors will be destroyed by milling and resurfacing operations, and with the proposed modification to the merge striping, accurate data collection will no longer be possible with loops and sensors at their existing location. Will a replacement monitoring site design be required as part of this contract?
Response 13:	The Design Build firm will be responsible for in-kind restoration of loops and sensors as part of the resurfacing and restriping for Ramp E.
Question 14:	Can THEA provide any as-built information for the REL ITS/Lighting?
Response 14:	Available as-built information for REL ITS and Lighting have been placed in the shared drive location. <u>https://hntb-</u> <u>my.sharepoint.com/:f:/p/dhubbard/ErghdiHHLWJHpsK3sQQ4-</u> <u>WYBMXInc8MWPuWwCluJSO_Ocg?e=E9wnbL</u> Password (case sensitive): O-02520
Question 15:	Are the FHWA Connected Vehicle Pilot Program plans available?
Response 15:	Connected Vehicle Pilot Program plans are not available.

Question 16:	As a follow-up to the question regarding when Q&A from all teams would be distributed, the 4/20/21 dates provided in the response is after the Technical Proposal is submitted. Therefore, individual teams may spend precious time investigating design and construction options that are addressed in a response. Since all shortlisted teams are accustomed to working within a process where all Q&A is either available as soon as it is issued or published at least weekly. Will THEA consider posting all Q&A on a regular basis, such as weekly?
Response 16:	Yes, weekly or as needed.

٦

**Respondents MUST** acknowledge receipt of this Letter of Clarification by signing, dating and returning the completed Acknowledgement of Receipt of Letter of Clarification/Addendum form.

All other items, conditions, and specifications in the procurement document not specifically changed by the Addendum remain unchanged.

Please send all questions to THEA's Procurement Manager, Man Le, via email at Man.Le@tampa-xway.com.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT OF ADDENDUM and/or LETTER OF CLARIFICATION

Were Addenda issued on this Solicitation?

Yes

No

 $\square$ 

Were Letter of Clarification issued on this Solicitation?

Yes

No No

I (We) hereby acknowledge receipt of the following Addendum/Addenda issued in reference to 7this solicitation by listing the Addenda by number, date and signing the form:

Addendum	Date:
Addendum	Date:
Letter of Clarification	Date:
Letter of Clarification	Date:
BIDDER:	
Ву:	Authorized Signature
Pri	inted Name of Signer

Title of Signer

Date Signed

#### [END OF ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT FORM]